# State Medevac Committee *Medevac Summit*October 3, 2008 Charlottesville Doubletree Hotel

**Summary of Accomplishments** 

# Medevac Summit Summary of Accomplishments

Members of Virginia's Medevac community were invited by the Office of Emergency Medical Services (OEMS) and the Medevac Committee to participate in a summit meeting on October 3, 2008 in Charlottesville. The primary intent of the summit was to help establish a clear vision for a Medevac system in Virginia and to identify initial strategies for achieving that vision. This report provides a summary of the significant accomplishments that were achieved.

Participants were asked to complete four tasks:

- 1. Establish a vision for the desired Medevac system for Virginia
- 2. Clearly define the role of the Medevac Advisory Committee beyond the requirements of the State Code
- 3. Identify strengths and weaknesses of the current system and approach
- 4. Identify potential actions that could be taken to create and maintain an effective Medevac system serving the entire state

# A Vision for the Future Medevac System in Virginia

- Appropriate management and regulation of a statewide system
- Comprehensive safety program
- Consistent and appropriate use of resources
- Centralized dispatch system
- Standardized performance improvement program
- Common tactical communication system
- Information resources available for risk management

Note: Additional details can be found in Table One, last page

#### The Critical Mission of the Medevac Committee

The Medevac Committee provides expert guidance to the Advisory Board regarding appropriate standards and recommendations to promote a high quality and safe Medevac system for Virginia

#### **Commitment and Values of the Committee Members**

- High quality patient care
- Safe operations
- Collaborative approach
- Comprehensive system view
- Efficient operations

- Fair representation
- Consensus based decisions
- Continuous process improvement based on documented outcomes

# **Weaknesses in the Present System and Approach**

- Lack of clear and defined goals and strategies
- Fear of the unknown
- Multiple agenda items-difficult to priorities
- Segmentation (91 vs. 135)
- Reluctance to address "white elephants"
- Incongruent missions
- Reluctance to share data/information
- Time management (4 meetings/yr)
- Rehashing of same problems
- Jumping to conclusions
- Historically, not a step-wise/systematic approach to problem solving
- Current voting structure doesn't provide consensus to GAB
- Not enough "teeth" to regulations
- Reluctance to give up identity and territory/volume
- Mistrust
- Silos
- No defined end points
- Working under multiple regulatory bodies
- Unclear identity
- Against educational deficiency of EMS providers of proper utilization of air medical services
- For vested interest in outcome
- A no accountability for both ground & air providers

# **Strengths of the Present System and Approach**

- Adequate resources to provide services
- Willingness to meet and talk together
- A focus on quality and safety among providers
- Commitment and vested interest in making sure services are available
- Shared desire to achieve system improvements
- Ability to accept responsibility for missing the mark
- Strong players with passion
- Broad wealth of knowledge and experience
- Established infrastructure
- Established interpersonal/agency relationships
- Diversity
- Commitment from State to support these services
- Desire to provide the service regardless of individual missions
- Desire to govern ourselves rather than have it dictated
- Level of quality within individual programs

- Good participation in regional EMS Councils and state Medevac Committee
- Passionate about education locally, regionally and statewide
- Consensus that safety is paramount
- Improving relationships among programs regardless of profile
- Desire and willingness to fix our issues

#### **Potential Actions to be Taken**

# **Top Priorities**

- Define what the Medevac system should be and conduct an annual planning meeting to:
  - o review the system and committee processes
  - develop an annual plan that addresses measurable goals; accountability and consequences; and routine review
- Develop and reinforce implementation of a new trauma triage program that will provide for:
  - Air-medical triage
  - o Stakeholder involvement
  - Responsible marketing of services
  - Proper and consistent utilization of resources
- Conduct an annual Safety Summit that addresses such items as:
  - o PAIP/AMRM/ Risk management
  - o Follow-up session with identified outcomes
- Accelerate completion of best practices
  - Set a clear timeline for completion (1 to 2 years?)
  - o Get program buy-in to complete including data sharing
  - Adopt best practices
- Establish a database and clearinghouse of nonproprietary information
- Create a WeatherShare information system for the state
  - Document mission turn downs and aborts
- Make better use of technology for more efficient, streamlined communications one-stop shopping
- Establish Committee work groups to address specific issues and establish timelines for accomplishing tasks
  - o Identify problems affecting the entire system
  - Use effective problem-solving processes
  - o Provide timely feedback for submitted recommendations

#### **Additional Ideas**

- Provide report on data collected every three months at Medevac Committee meetings
- Annual teambuilding events "pre-incident/prevention"
- Continuing Education programs

- Data collection/reporting structureFinancial impact/analysis: what will projects cost?

	Tabl	Table One: A Vision fo	Vision for the Future Medevac System in Virginia	vac System in Virg	Jinia	
Appropriate Management & Regulation of the Statewide System	Comprehensive Safety Program	Consistent and Appropriate Use of Resources	Centralized Dispatch System	Common Tactical Communications System	Information Resources for Risk Management	Standardized Performance Improvement Program
<ul> <li>Revision of statewide air medical regulations</li> <li>Knowledgeable oversight for direction &amp; decision-making</li> <li>Controlled growth based on needs of the Commonwealth</li> <li>Municipalities exercise their EMS responsibilities to the citizens</li> <li>A system that assures all areas of the state are covered</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Comprehensive Safety Program</li> <li>Mandatory participation in minimum state established safety standards (FAA? NTSA?)</li> <li>Universal safety standards</li> <li>Statewide safety education for all customers</li> </ul>	Evidence & outcomes based Trauma Triage system Statewide standards for air medical utilization Appropriate aircraft utilization Standard transparent utilization review Universal protocols for utilization State established air medical triage guidelines	<ul> <li>A technological advanced computer system to view availability of aircraft in the system</li> <li>Centralized dispatch "on scene"</li> <li>Statewide common dispatch center</li> <li>Statewide common dispatch center</li> <li>Statewide common dispatch center</li> <li>Statispatch center common dispatch center</li> <li>Stellite tracking transparency</li> <li>Integrated, efficient coordination of services</li> <li>Consistency in determination of closest available aircraft</li> </ul>	Standardized communication: Air to Air; Hospital; Ground to Air, First Responder Standardized communication system  System	State network of Air Medical AWOS stations Risk assessment as per FAA Comprehensive weather-share system Communications sharing INTER-Program (weather hazards)	<ul> <li>Comprehensive statewide best practices-validated</li> <li>Comprehensive standard quality management</li> <li>Statewide air medical data reporting (not PPDR)</li> </ul>

Note: Items in rows and columns are not listed in any order of priority.